

9th September 1959] [Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam]

could only get  $5\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs tons from Madras and Andhra and they are not in a position to find the balance elsewhere. So, the Centre was not inclined to accept readily the suggestion to break-up the zone.

Our reply was that the demand of  $9\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs tons from Kerala and Mysore was not real but highly inflated. Kerala never got such a large quantity from outside and the average movements into Kerala could not in any year have exceeded 5 lakhs tons. In the same way Mysore was never supplied  $2\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs tons in any year, but they were in fact self-sufficient and even if they had a small deficit, it could not have exceeded  $\frac{1}{2}$  (half) lakh ton. So if the supplies to Mysore and Kerala are to be maintained normally, the total need will be only  $5\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs tons, which Madras and Andhra were prepared to procure and supply. If there is any slight marginal deficit, the Central Government could supply this from the imported stocks, as they are doing at present. So, it was strongly urged that the policy suggested by Madras and Andhra is quite workable and that the Centre would be running no risks in adopting it.

It was decided that the real needs of Mysore and Kerala should be worked out based on the actual movements that have taken place and that the representatives of the States may meet once again towards the end of this month for a final discussion, before the Government of India decides the question of the break-up of the zone.

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIYAR : Sir, I request that copies of the speech of the Hon. Minister may be circulated to hon. Members. I also want to know from the Hon. Minister when this statement will be taken up for discussion.

\* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : If the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition wants copies of the speech, I shall have them circulated to the House. As regards discussion on this statement, I would say that the Business Advisory Committee, which is meeting this afternoon, will decide this question. To-morrow may be allotted for a discussion on this statement, because we have no other official days to discuss this statement. We have certain other official business also for to-morrow. I submit that even though to-morrow is a non-official day, there is the resolution on increasing the number of fair price shops. Therefore, it will be quite appropriate if to-morrow is taken up for a discussion on the food situation.

#### IV.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

MOTION re TRANSACTION OF GOVERNMENT BUSINESS ON  
NON-OFFICIAL DAY.

\* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : Sir, under Rule 23 (1) of the Madras Assembly Rules, I move

“ That the House do resolve to transact Government business on Thursday, the 10th September 1959.”

[9th September 1959]

**MR. SPEAKER :** Am I to take it that there is no other non-official day in this sitting? The Hon. Minister has got to pilot Bills in the Council.

**THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :** This will be decided in the Business Advisory Committee meeting.

The motion was put and carried.

**MR. SPEAKER :** To-morrow is an official day, and the food situation can be discussed.

**SRI N. K. PALANISAMI :** “ஆன்ட பாயின்ட் ஆப் இன்பர்மேஷன், காட்டும் மந்திரியவாகவு சொன்னதில் இருந்து இப்பொழுது இருக்கக் கூடிய நிலைமை அப் பிடே இருக்கப்போதாகத் தெரிகிறது. (அன்று இருக்கும் படியான நிலைமையிலே அதிகப்படியான அளவு உணவுப் பொருள்களின் விலை இருப்பதால் இம் முறையை மாற்றி வேறு ஏதாவது ஒரு முறையை . . . . .”

**MR. SPEAKER :** Why is the hon. Member in a hurry? He can participate in the discussion to-morrow.

**SRI S. M. ANNAMALAI :** “ஆன்ட பாயின்ட் ஆப் இன்பர்மேஷன் கார்”. சென்ற வியாழக்கீழ்மை, “நான் அபீவியல் டே” அன்று நில விவாதத்திற்கு எடுத்துக்கொண்ட தீர்மானமானது இன்னும் முடிவு ஏற்றுமல் இருக்கிறது. ஆகவே . . . . .”

**MR. SPEAKER :** நானையதினால் “அபீவியல் பிலின்ஸை” எடுத்துக் கொள்வதென்று இந்தச் சபை ஒத்துக் கொண்டது. நீங்கள் குறுக்கே சோல்லிப் பிரயோஜனம் இல்லை. அந்த “நான் அபீவியல் பிலின்ஸை” இன்னொரு வியாழக்கீழ்மையன்று இந்தச் சபையில் எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்படும்.

## V.—GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS BUILDINGS (LEASE AND RENT CONTROL) BILL,  
1959<sup>a</sup>.

**THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH :** Sir, I beg leave to introduce the Madras Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Bill, 1959<sup>a</sup>.

**MR. SPEAKER :** The question is:

“That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Buildings (Lease and Rent Control) Bill, 1959.”

The motion was put and carried.

**THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH :** Sir, I introduce the Bill.

**MR. SPEAKER :** The Bill is introduced.

**MR. SPEAKER :** The hon. Member Sri K. Vinayakam asked for information regarding the adjournment motions admitted. The use of an adjournment motion in England is nowadays so rare that during the period from 1921–1939, the annual average of adjournment motions was only 1·25. It is rightly said that it is easier to pass a camel through the eye of a needle than get an adjournment motion admitted.